

Message

---

**From:** Dover, Heather [doverhea@anr.msu.edu]  
**Sent:** 4/20/2017 5:00:05 PM  
**To:** Paul Howard [paulchoward81@gmail.com]  
**CC:** Joseph Scimeca [Joseph\_Scimeca@cargill.com]; Thomas, Russell [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=12f119e7a3ee447499f3d6ab5d20daeb-Thomas, Rus]  
**Subject:** Re: CRIS EIC -- RFP reviewer comments and scores

Thanks Paul! Safe travels!  
Heather

---

**From:** Paul Howard <paulchoward81@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 20, 2017 12:52 PM  
**To:** Dover, Heather  
**Subject:** Re: CRIS EIC -- RFP reviewer comments and scores

Heather,

I agree with the ranking of the proposals (Medina > Kaminski >> Mitchell). The reviewers were able to capture the strengths and weaknesses of the proposals:

Medina proposal; ranked highest by the external reviewers; most in-line with CRIS goals; has greatest opportunity to assemble a database for concentrations and exposure to cholesterol oxidation products in the diet; authors should consider the comments by reviewer that existing published data should be included in database, where the database can be used to reference source and qualify the information if Medina et al think the analysis was 'faulty'.

Kaminski proposal; ranked second behind Medina; the weaknesses pointed out by reviewers (especially lack of existing working line) detract from full confidence in the proposal; while the impact of this proposal could be significant, it will take a long time for impact on the industry, regulators, and risk assessment.

Mitchell proposal; too many questions regarding the hypothesis and experimental design, as pointed out by reviewers; proposal should be more focused; quite weak as compared to other two.

paul

On Thu, Apr 20, 2017 at 9:48 AM, Dover, Heather <doverhea@anr.msu.edu> wrote:  
Hi Paul,

I will be asking everyone on the call tomorrow to give their ranking of the proposals. Please share your ranking of the proposals. Thanks!

Heather

---

**From:** Paul Howard <paulchoward81@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 20, 2017 8:15 AM  
**To:** Dover, Heather  
**Cc:** Joseph Scimeca; Rusty Thomas; Lee, Jieun; Barone, Patrizia; Boverhof, Darrell (R); tphillips@cvm.tamu.edu; Cohen, Samuel M; charlie.arnot@foodintegrity.org; Holsapple, Michael  
**Subject:** Re: CRIS EIC -- RFP reviewer comments and scores

Dear Joe and Rusty,  
I will be out of country and unable to participate in the call on Friday 21 April 2017. My apologies.  
paul

On Wed, Apr 5, 2017 at 2:03 PM, Dover, Heather <[doverhea@anr.msu.edu](mailto:doverhea@anr.msu.edu)> wrote:

Hello EIC members,

I have attached a table with the scores, along with reviewer comments for each proposal. Please review and be prepared to provide your recommendations for funding at the next EIC meeting on April 21<sup>st</sup>.

Heather

--

Heather E. Dover, RDN

Research Assistant I

Center for Research on Ingredient Safety

Michigan State University

Food Safety & Toxicology Building

1129 Farm Lane, Room 322

East Lansing, MI 48824

517-884-6953

[doverhea@msu.edu](mailto:doverhea@msu.edu)

<http://cris.msu.edu/index.html>